

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/079,489	02/22/2002	Bernd Niethammer	01-0401	9328	
7	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER		
McGuireWoods LLP			SOLAK, TIMOTHY P		
Tysons Corner	oulevard, Suite 1800		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
McLean, VA 22102-4215			3746		
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N N N
Advisory Action	10/079,489	NIETHAMMER, BEI	RND VV
, and a second treatment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy P. Solak	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apport originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\overline{\omega} \) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · -	· <u> </u>	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 8, 9, 13			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-12			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
10. Other:		JUSTINE R. SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advis	sory Action	. Pa	rt of Paper No. 19

Continuation of 2. NOTE:





Further search and consideration, directed towards the "linear flow" limitation is required.

Contrary to what applicants may suggest, the examination burden is not limited exclusively to a prior art search but also includes the effort required to apply the art by making and discussing all appropriated grounds of rejection.

Further it is noted, that "page 4 of the present office action" (see Remarks, page 5, second paragraph) does not suggested that the "linear flow" limitation was considered in the formulation of the rejections.